## Natural Resources Conservation Service Application Ranking Summary Lea SWCD - AFO

Program: EQIP 2002	Ranking Date:
Ranking Tool: Lea SWCD - AFO	
Final Ranking Score:	
Planner:	
Farm Location:	

#### National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in considerable	60 Point(s)
reductions of non-point source pollution, such as nutrients, sediment, pesticides,	
excess salinity in impaired watersheds, groundwater contamination or point source	
contamination from confined animal feeding operations?	
2. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable	60 Point(s)
amount of ground or surface water conservation?	
3. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable	60 Point(s)
reduction of emissions, such as particulate matter, nitrogen oxides (NOx), volatile	
organic compounds, and ozone precursors and depleters that contribute to air quality	
impairment violations of National Ambient Air Quality Standards?	
4. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable	20 Point(s)
reduction in soil erosion and sedimentation from unacceptable levels on agricultural	
land?	
5. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable	50 Point(s)
increase in the promotion of at-risk species habitat conservation?	

#### **State Issues Addressed**

Issue Questions	Responses
1. AFO #1 - An approved CNMP is already in place?	25 Point(s)
2. AFO #2 - The contract will include practices that will significantly reduce the	50 Point(s)
threat of ground water pollution?	
3. AFO #3 - The contract will include practices that will significantly reduce the	50 Point(s)
threat of surface water pollution?	
4. AFO #4 - The contract will include practices that will reduce nitrate levels to 10	50 Point(s)
ppm or less?	
5. AFO #5 - The collection and transport system is inadequate, but will be	25 Point(s)
significantly improved?	
6. AFO #6 - The storage and treatment facilities are inadequate, but will be	25 Point(s)
significantly improved?	
7. AFO #7 - Manure utilization is inadequate, but will be significantly improved?	25 Point(s)

### **Local Issues Addressed**

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Does this applicant have a terminated EQIP contract for non compliance?	-50 Point(s)
2. Does the applicant have and are they following a current CNMP?	100 Point(s)
3. Is the shortest distance from the facility to any surface water or well $<$ or $= 100$	40 Point(s)
feet?	
4. Is the shortest distance from the facility to any surface water or well 101 - 250 feet?	30 Point(s)
5. Is the shortest distance from the facility to any surface water or well 251 - 500 feet?	20 Point(s)
6. Is the shortest distance from the facility to any surface water or well 501 - 1320 feet?	10 Point(s)

# Natural Resources Conservation Service Application Ranking Summary Lea SWCD - AFO

Issue Questions	Responses
7. Is the shortest distance from the facility to any surface water or well > 1320 feet?	0 Point(s)
	, ,
8. Is the distance from the ground surface to the top of the seasonal water table < 10	50 Point(s)
feet?	``
9. Is the distance from the ground surface to the top of the seasonal water table 11 -	35 Point(s)
50 feet?	
10. Is the distance from the ground surface to the top of the seasonal water table 51 -	20 Point(s)
100 feet?	
11. Is the distance from the ground surface to the top of the seasonal water table 101 -	10 Point(s)
200 feet?	
12. Is the distance from the ground surface to the top of the seasonal water table >	0 Point(s)
200 feet?	
13. Storage and treatment equipment and facilities are non-existent.	40 Point(s)
14. Storage and treatment equipment and facilities exist but are inadequate.	20 Point(s)
15. Storage and treatment equipment and facilities are adequate.	0 Point(s)
16. Collection and transfer equipment and facilities are non-existent?	40 Point(s)
17. Collection and transfer equipment and facilities exist but are inadequate?	20 Point(s)
18. Collection and transfer equipment and facilities are adequate?	0 Point(s)
19. Seepage equipment and facilities are non-existent.	40 Point(s)
20. Seepage equipment and facilities exist but are inadequate.	20 Point(s)
21. Seepage equipment and facilities are adequate?	0 Point(s)
22. Is the current phosphorus risk very high (Phosphorus index worksheet for NM)?	10 Point(s)
23. Is the current phosphorus risk high (Phosphorus index worksheet for NM)?	7 Point(s)
24. Is the current phosphorus risk medium (Phosphorus index worksheet for NM)?	5 Point(s)
	,
25. Is the current phosphorus risk low (Phosphorus index worksheet for NM)?	2 Point(s)
26. Is the current phosphorus risk very low (Phosphorus index worksheet for NM)?	0 Point(s)
	. ,
27. Is there currently a potential for leaching (Irrigated Leaching index and leaching	20 Point(s)
requirement for salt management form)?	
28. Is the animal density status low?	30 Point(s)
29. Is the animal density status medium?	20 Point(s)
30. Is the animal density status high?	10 Point(s)
31. Is the animal density status extra high?	0 Point(s)
32. Will the application of irrigation practices improve irrigation efficiency by >40%	30 Point(s)
by NRCS (FIRS) calculations?	
33. Will the application of irrigation practices improve irrigation efficiency by 34-	25 Point(s)
40% by NRCS (FIRS) calculations?	20 1 01111(0)
34. Will the application of irrigation practices improve irrigation efficiency by 28-	15 Point(s)
33% by NRCS (FIRS) calculations?	
35. Will the application of irrigation practices improve irrigation efficiency by 21-	5 Point(s)
27% by NRCS (FIRS) calculations?	(0)
36. Will the application of irrigation practices improve irrigation efficiency by at least	1 Point(s)
20% by NRCS (FIRS) calculations?	2 2 3 111(0)
20% of Titted (Titte) calculations.	